

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,301	02/23/2004	Akira Kuibira	39.034	2300
29453	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
JUDGE PATENT FIRM			PAIK, SANG YEOP	
RIVIERE SHUKUGAWA 3RD FL. 3-1 WAKAMATSU-CHO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISHINOMIYA-SHI, HYOGO, 662-0035			3742	
JAPAN			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/708,301	KUIBIRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sang Y. Paik	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•		merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 8-14 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	coloction requirement					
	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• • •	• •	D 4 404/-IV			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, ,			
	armiler. Note the attached Office	Action of form P 1	O-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	σ.				
Attachment(c)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,301

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramanan et al (US 6,6639,189) in view of Hiramatsu et al (US 6,507,006) or Ito et al (US 6,717,116).

Ramanan shows the structure claimed including a ceramic susceptor made of silicon carbide having the thermal conductivity of 100 w/mk or more with a resistive heating element present more toward the side opposite to the retaining side of the susceptor which has the flatness less than 500 um or less with a diameter 200 mm or more, a heat-reflecting metal plate having the thermal conductivity greater that of the ceramic susceptor. (see column 13, lines 20-29) where in the thickness of the metal plate is greater than that of the ceramic susceptor. However, Ramanan does not explicitly show the heating element having a circuit pattern with a spacing of .1 mm or more.

Hiramatsu or Ito shows a heating circuit pattern having a through hole having the diameter of more than .1 mm between the circuit patterns. In view of Hiramatsu or Ito, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ramanan with the circuit pattern having the spacing pattern more than .1 mm not only to prevent a short circuit between the

Art Unit: 3742

heating pattern but also to provide an adequate spacing to incorporate the through holes for lifting pins or the holes for displacing thermocouples therein.

With respect to claim 2, Ramanan shows the metal plate and the ceramic susceptor being fastened to each other. Furthermore, it is noted that claim 2 is a product by process claim wherein the patentability is determined by the product and not by the process by which it is made.

With respect to claims 4 and 11, Hiramatsu shows that the ceramic susceptor can be made of silicon carbide, aluminum nitride as well as alumina and boron nitride, and it further shows that the semiconductor wafer chuck with a ceramic substrate with the porosity less than 5%, and, preferably from 0.01 to 3%. It would have been obvious to further adapt Ramanan with other suitable ceramic materials that display high thermal conductivity to maintain or increase the desired thermal capabilities, and further adapt with the claimed porosity to more effectively maintain the voltage drop in the ceramic substrate to improve the chucking of a wafer to the heating surface.

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramanan in view of Hiramatsu or Ito as applied to claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 9-14 above, and further in view of Kadomura et al (US 5,968,273).

Ramanan in view of Hiramatsu or Ito shows the structure claimed except the metal is selected from the claimed materials.

Kadomura shows the metal plate having the claimed aluminum silica carbide composite material. Kadomura further shows that the metal plate would display the over 100 W/mk or more thermal conductivity.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,301 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

In view of Kadomura, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ramanan, as modified by Hiramatsu or Ito, with the metal plate having the claimed materials to provide alternatively suitable heat transfer means either to heat or cool the thermal energy from the susceptor.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sang Y. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

5-8-

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742

syp